



Projecting Future Implications in Research Blogs: Connecting Evidence to Policy, Practice, and Innovation

Hissan Khandakar^a, Khandakar Kamrul Hasan^b, Md. Abu Hasnat

^a Technical Partner, HHH Research Consultancy & Development, Dhaka, Bangladesh

^b Chairman, HHH Research Consultancy & Development, Dhaka, Bangladesh

^c Managing Partner, HHH Research Consultancy & Development, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Abstract

This blog examines how research writers can project future implications in ways that connect evidence to policy, practice, and innovation. It argues that research blogs should move beyond summarizing findings and should instead show how evidence may inform decision-making, institutional action, and future development. The discussion emphasizes that projecting implications requires careful translation of research into accessible language that different audiences can understand and use. It also highlights the importance of identifying who may benefit from the findings, including policymakers, practitioners, and future researchers. By drawing attention to knowledge translation, research synthesis, and research gaps, the blog presents future implications as informed and responsible projections rather than exaggerated claims. In this way, it positions research blogging as a bridge between scholarship and action and encourages writers to make their work more visible, relevant, and socially useful.

Keywords: Research blogging; future implications; knowledge translation; policy and practice; innovation

To cite this article:

Khandakar, H., Hasan, K. K., Hasnat, M. A. (2026). Projecting Future Implications in Research Blogs: Connecting Evidence to Policy, Practice, and Innovation. HHH Research Blog, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.66246/rzq8tq72>



Research blogs do more than explain what a study found, because they also help readers understand what those findings may mean next. This forward-looking dimension is where the future implications of research become important, as a strong research blog does not stop at summary but moves from evidence to possible action. In doing so, it helps readers see how knowledge may shape policy, improve practice, or inspire innovation (Oliver et al., 2014).

Many researchers are trained to present results carefully by explaining the methods, reporting the findings, and discussing the limitations. In journal writing, future implications are often placed near the end, but in blog writing they need to be more visible and more meaningful because readers want to know not only what the evidence says, but also why it matters beyond the study itself. This broader orientation is especially important in blog writing, where scholarly contribution must be made visible in a direct and accessible way (Bans-Akutey & Tiimub, 2021).

Projecting future implications means asking a few simple questions about what might change because of this evidence, who could use these findings, what decisions may be improved, what practices may be refined, and what new ideas or systems might emerge from this work. These questions help a blog move from explanation to relevance, while also encouraging the writer to show how present knowledge opens pathways for future use and development (Searles et al., 2016). This matters because research rarely exists in isolation. A study may begin in one discipline, but its implications may travel further, as findings in education may inform institutional policy, findings in health may guide service design, and findings in business may influence management practice or public regulation. When writers make these connections visible, they help readers understand that research is part of a wider social process (Lingard, 2013).

A useful way to think about future implications is through translation, since evidence does not automatically change the world but must be interpreted, communicated, and connected to the settings where decisions are made. This is why knowledge translation has become such an important concept, because it reminds us that research impact depends not only on producing knowledge, but also on helping others use it meaningfully (Graham et al., 2018). In blog writing, this translation work must be done in accessible language, so a writer should not simply say that the findings have policy implications but should also show what kind of policy might be affected and why that connection matters in practice.

The same is true for practice, because practice implications are often easier for readers to grasp when they connect directly to action. A blog may show how teachers can adjust instruction, how managers can improve communication, or how health professionals can refine service routines, and these examples help readers see that research is not only theoretical but can also inform what people do in real settings (Oliver et al., 2014). In this sense, projecting implications is closely related to identifying where existing knowledge can be extended into practical contexts that have not yet been fully addressed (Farooq, 2017).

Innovation is another important dimension, although innovation does not always mean advanced technology or major disruption. Sometimes it means a better process, sometimes a new partnership, and sometimes a more thoughtful way of applying evidence across institutions. A research blog can highlight these possibilities by showing how findings open space for experimentation, adaptation, or redesign (Wyborn et al., 2018). This is especially important when the evidence base is still developing, because in such cases the writer should project future implications carefully. The goal is not to exaggerate but to suggest reasonable pathways, so a blog can say that findings point toward possible reforms, emerging questions, or promising applications while remaining responsible and forward looking. This kind of careful projection also aligns with the idea that future implications often emerge from areas where research remains incomplete and where further exploration is needed (Almusaed et al., 2025).

One helpful strategy is to move from evidence to implication in stages. First, explain the main finding in simple terms, then ask what that finding may mean for a specific audience, and after that identify one or two realistic next steps. This sequence makes the logic clear and prevents the blog from jumping too quickly from data to broad claims (Searles et al., 2016). For example, a study may show that collaboration improves implementation outcomes, but the future implication is not simply that collaboration is good. The stronger implication is that institutions may need structures that support shared decision making, communication, and accountability, so the blog moves beyond abstract praise and toward concrete relevance.

Another useful strategy is to think across audiences, since the same finding may have different implications for different groups. Policymakers may need to know how evidence can support regulation or resource allocation, practitioners may need to know how it can improve day to day decisions, and researchers may need to know what questions remain open. A good blog does not need to address every audience equally, but it should be clear about who the projected implications are for (Lingard, 2013). This attention to audience also strengthens the writer's ability to show where current knowledge ends and where new inquiry, application, or refinement should begin (Bans-Akutey & Tiimub, 2021).

Research synthesis is especially valuable here because, when evidence is brought together across studies, patterns become easier to see. This makes it easier to discuss future implications with confidence, since a single study may suggest a possibility while a synthesis of studies may reveal a trend, a recurring need, or a shared barrier. This broader view helps blog writers connect evidence to larger systems of policy, practice, and innovation (Wyborn et al., 2018). At the same time, synthesis can also make underexplored areas more visible by revealing where knowledge remains fragmented, uneven, or incomplete across fields and contexts (Raes et al., 2020; Bıçakcıoğlu-Peynirci & Morgan, 2023).

Future implications should sound thoughtful, not promotional, because a blog writer should avoid making every finding sound revolutionary. Not every study transforms policy, and not every result change practice immediately, so careful writers show where the evidence is strong, where it is still emerging, and where cautious interpretation is needed. This balance builds trust. At the same time, writers should not become too hesitant, because if the evidence does suggest practical or policy relevance, that relevance should be stated clearly. A blog is a place to make scholarship visible and usable, and readers benefit when writers explain not only what was learned, but also what might reasonably follow from that learning (Graham et al., 2018).

It is also important to remember that future implications are not predictions in a strict sense, but informed projections grounded in the evidence at hand. This distinction matters because it allows the writer to remain academically responsible while still showing why the research deserves attention now. In many cases, the most meaningful future implication is not a claim of certainty, but a carefully reasoned indication of where further investigation, refinement, or application may be needed (Farooq, 2017; Almusaed et al., 2025).

For early career researchers, learning to write future implications well can be especially valuable because it strengthens public communication, improves grant and proposal writing, and helps scholars think more clearly about the wider purpose of their work. When researchers practice projecting implications in blog format, they learn how to connect evidence with decisions, institutions, and social needs. In the end, projecting future implications is about connection, because it connects findings to consequences, scholarship to action, and research to the people and systems that may use it. A strong research blog helps readers see that evidence does not end with publication, but continues through interpretation, application, and adaptation. When done carefully, discussing future implications makes a research blog more than an academic summary, as it becomes a bridge between knowledge and action and shows how research can inform policy, shape practice, and encourage innovation. That is one of the most valuable contributions a research blog can make.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgements

This Research Blog post was written by the founding members of HHH Research Consultancy & Development

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Almusaed, A., Almssad, A., & Yitmen, I. (2025). Identifying research gaps. In *Practice of research methodology in civil engineering and architecture: A comprehensive guide* (pp. 35–66). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-97393-2_2
- Bans-Akutey, A., & Tiimub, B. M. (2021). Identifying research gaps in scholarly work: A review. *Academia Letters*, Article 32377. <https://doi.org/10.20935/AL32377>
- Bıçakcıoğlu-Peynirci, N., & Morgan, R. E. (2023). International servitization: Theoretical roots, research gaps and implications. *International Marketing Review*, 40(2), 338–364. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-07-2020-0140>
- Farooq, R. (2017). A framework for identifying research gap in social sciences: Evidence from the past. *IUP Journal of Management Research*, 16(4), 66–75.
- Farooq, R. (2017). A framework for identifying research gap in social sciences: Evidence from the past. *IUP Journal of Management Research*, 16(4), 66–75. https://www.iupindia.in/1704/Management%20Research/A_Framework_for_Identifying_Research_Gap_in_Social_Sciences.asp
- Graham, I. D., Kothari, A., & McCutcheon, C. (2018). Moving knowledge into action for more effective practice, programmes and policy: Protocol for a research programme on integrated knowledge translation. *Implementation Science*, 13, 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-017-0700-y>
- Lingard, B. (2013). The impact of research on education policy in an era of evidence-based policy. *Critical Studies in Education*, 54(2), 113–131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17508487.2013.781515>
- Oliver, K., Lorenc, T., & Innvær, S. (2014). New directions in evidence-based policy research: A critical analysis of the literature. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 12, 34. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1478-4505-12-34>
- Raes, A., Detienne, L., Windey, I., & Depaepe, F. (2020). A systematic literature review on synchronous hybrid learning: Gaps identified. *Learning Environments Research*, 23(3), 269–290. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10984-019-09303-z>
- Searles, A., Doran, C., Attia, J., Knight, J., Wiggers, J., Deeming, S., Mattes, J., Webb, B., Hannan, S., Ling, R., & Reeves, P. (2016). An approach to measuring and encouraging research translation and research impact. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 14, 60. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-016-0131-2>



HHH Research Blog

Research for Impact

<https://doi.org/10.66246/rzq8tq72>

Vol 1, Issue 1

Wyborn, C., Louder, E., Harrison, J., Montambault, J., Montana, J., Ryan, M., Nesshöver, C., Pullin, A., Reed, M., Thompson, K., & Bednarek, A. (2018). Understanding the impacts of research synthesis. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 86, 72–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.04.013>