

Framing the Rationale in Research Blogging: Establishing Relevance and Context Across Disciplines

Khandakar Kamrul Hasan^a, Md. Abu Hasnat^b, Hissan Khandakar^c

^a Chairman, HHH Research Consultancy & Development, Dhaka, Bangladesh

^b Managing Partner, HHH Research Consultancy & Development, Dhaka, Bangladesh

^c Technical Partner, HHH Research Consultancy & Development, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Abstract

Research blogging has become an important form of academic communication because it helps scholars explain not only what they study, but also why their work matters. This blog argues that framing the rationale is central to effective research blogging. A strong research blog establishes relevance, provides context, and connects with readers across disciplinary and public boundaries. Unlike journal articles, which often address specialist audiences, research blogs must speak to more diverse readers in accessible and meaningful ways. Drawing on studies of academic blogging, genre, and public communication, this discussion shows that writers need to make the problem, purpose, and significance of their work visible early in the post. It also highlights those disciplinary differences shape how rationale is presented. Overall, the blog shows that clear framing strengthens research communication and helps make scholarship more usable, visible, and engaging across different contexts.

Keywords: research blogging; rationale framing; academic communication; audience engagement; disciplinary context

To cite this article:

Hasan, K. K., Hasnat, M. A., Khandakar, H. (2026). Framing the Rationale in Research Blogging: Establishing Relevance and Context Across Disciplines. HHH Research Blog, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.66246/9acv8522>



Research blogging is now a serious part of academic communication. It is not only a place to share ideas quickly. It is also a place to explain why a study matters. That is where rationale becomes important. A strong research blog does not begin with findings alone. It begins by helping readers understand the problem, the context, and the reason the work deserves attention (Hyland & Zou, 2020).

Many researchers already know how to write journal articles. Research blogging is different. A journal article often speaks to specialists who share methods, concepts, and expectations. A blog post often speaks to mixed readers. Some readers are experts. Some are students. Some are practitioners. Some are curious members of the public. Because of this, a blog writer must frame the rationale more carefully and more openly (Luzón, 2013).

Framing the rationale means answering a few simple questions early. What is the issue. Why does it matter now. Who is affected. What gap or misunderstanding exists. What can this post help readers see more clearly. These questions make a blog post easier to trust and easier to follow (Mewburn & Thomson, 2013).

A useful way to think about research blogging is through genre. Research blogs are not random personal notes. They have recurring purposes and patterns. Writers often introduce a topic, connect it to a broader concern, explain key ideas in accessible language, and then guide readers toward the main insight. This genre work matters because it shapes how readers interpret credibility and relevance from the beginning (Tiainen, 2012).

This is where framing becomes practical. In academic articles, writers use formal structures to signal the purpose and the place of the study. In blogs, those signals still exist, but they are often more direct and more visible. Writers may use headings, opening questions, examples, and short explanations to help readers understand the logic of the post. These signals help readers see the structure without needing specialist training in the field (Hyland & Zou, 2020).

Another important issue is audience diversity. Research blogs often recontextualize scientific or scholarly knowledge for people outside the immediate discipline. Recontextualizing does not mean oversimplifying. It means translating the relevance of the work into language and examples that fit the reader's world. It means keeping the core meaning while changing the framing so that more people can engage with it (Luzón, 2013).

This point is especially important when writing across disciplines. Different fields value different kinds of evidence, language, and problems. A blog about education may center lived experience and policy implications. A blog about engineering may focus on design constraints and performance. A blog about health may emphasize risk, safety, and public impact. If a writer ignores these disciplinary habits, readers may not connect with the post even if the research is strong (Kjellberg, 2015).

Research blogging also reflects the writer's identity and goals. Some scholars blog to build dialogue. Some blog to test ideas. Some blog to make their research more visible. Some blog to reach audiences who do not read journals. These goals influence how the rationale is framed. A writer aiming for public engagement may start with a social problem. A writer aiming for academic exchange may start with a conceptual debate. A writer aiming for practitioners may start with a decision that needs better evidence (Mewburn & Thomson, 2013).

This does not mean there is one correct style. It means there should be a conscious choice. Good research blogging is intentional. The writer chooses a frame that fits the audience and the purpose. That choice is part of scholarly communication, not separate from it (Kjellberg, 2015).

A human and effective research blog often begins with a familiar tension. There is a problem people care about, but the evidence is scattered. There is a public claim, but the research shows a more complex picture. There is a common practice, but we do not fully understand its consequences. These openings create relevance without using heavy jargon. They invite the reader in while preserving academic seriousness (Luzón, 2013).

Writers also need to signal boundaries. A blog post is not a full journal article. It cannot carry every detail. A good post can say what it does and does not cover. It can mention that the post highlights one argument, one finding, or one implication. This transparency builds trust and reduces confusion. It also helps readers know what to expect next (Hyland & Zou, 2020).

Across disciplines, one shared lesson stands out. Readers respond well when the rationale is visible early. They want to know why the topic matters before they invest attention in methods or results. This is true in scholarly settings and public settings. A clear rationale acts like a bridge. It connects the research question to the reader's concerns (Tiainen, 2012).

For researchers who are new to blogging, the good news is that this skill can be learned. Start with a short opening that names the problem. Add two or three sentences that explain why the issue matters in a broader context. Then explain what your post will do. After that, present your main point in plain language. This approach is simple, but it is powerful because it respects the reader's time and attention (Mewburn & Thomson, 2013).

Research blogging is not a lesser form of academic writing. It is a different form with different responsibilities. One of those responsibilities is framing the rationale well. When researchers establish relevance and context clearly, they make scholarship more usable, more visible, and more connected across disciplinary boundaries. That is not only good communication. It is also good academic practice (Hyland & Zou, 2020; Kjellberg, 2015).

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgements

This Research Blog post was written by the founding members of HHH Research Consultancy & Development

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Hyland, K., & Zou, H. J. (2020). In the frame: Signalling structure in academic articles and blogs. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 165, 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2020.05.002>
- Kjellberg, S. (2015). Researchers' blogging practices in two epistemic cultures: The scholarly blog as a situated genre. *Human IT: Journal for Information Technology Studies as a Human Science*, 12(3), 36–77. <https://humanit.hb.se/article/view/474>
- Luzón, M. J. (2013). Public communication of science in blogs: Recontextualizing scientific discourse for a diversified audience. *Written Communication*, 30(4), 428–457. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088313493610>
- Mewburn, I., & Thomson, P. (2013). Why do academics blog? An analysis of audiences, purposes and challenges. *Studies in Higher Education*, 38(8), 1105–1119. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2013.835624>
- Tiainen, K. (2012). A genre analysis of academic research blogs. University of Helsinki. <https://helda.helsinki.fi/handle/10138/37012>